

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,359	12/14/2001	Michael Joachim Wolf	Q67426	1154
	7590 05/29/200 ION, ZINN, MACPEA	EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			JONES, PRENELL P	
wasnington, D	C 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			•	ت			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/014,359	WOLF ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Prenell P. Jones	2616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address -	-			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		,					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	ebruary 2007.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) 2,10,14,18 and 21-23 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1,13,15-17,19,20,24,26 and 28</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) 3-9,11,12,25 and 27 is/are objected to	٥.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. <sup>-</sup> See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	, , , , ,					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachmen	t(e)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Other: _	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/014,359

Art Unit: 2616

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that the cited prior art fails to teach or suggest phase adjusting as associated with internal clocks. Examiner has reworded previous rejection using the same prior art, as to show that the internal clocks are phase adjusted/shifted with respect to delay time.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 15-17, 19, 20, 24, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamamoto et al (US Pat 5,987,619).

Regarding claims 1, 15-17, 19, 20, 24, 26 and 28, Hamamoto discloses a phase compensation circuit that includes a first and second delay circuit along with associated internal clocks (INTCLK, INTDCLK, DCLK1 and DCLK2), wherein signals are eventually outputted and a clock signal generation circuit that receives clock signals, and delay circuits that receive internal first/second clock signals, delay first internal clock and delay second internal clock, (Abstract, Fig. 7-9, col. 2, line 21-54, col. 5, line 7 thru col. 6, line 47), and adjusting/shifting (Fig. 7, col. 5, line 45 thru col. 6, line 7) one delayed internal clock to match another delayed internal clock, resulting in the matching internal clock

Application/Control Number: 10/014,359

Art Unit: 2616

signals, and a first and second clock signals are external clock signals (Fig. 7, 8, 9, 10 & 11)

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 2, 10, 14, 18 and 21-23 are allowed over prior art.
- 2. Claims 3-9, 11, 12, 25 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the combined cited art discloses phase compensation associated in a telecommunication environment wherein utilization of a first/second delay unit along with corresponding first/second clock signals and associated delay time, and adjusting the phase, they fail to teach or suggest with respect to claims 3 and 4, first delay time corresponds to a maximum expected phase difference and/or maximum expected propagation time difference between at least one first clock signal and the second clock signal, with respect to claim 5, selecting one of the at least one first delayed clock signal and the second delayed clock signal and optionally one of the at least first clock signal and the second clock signal, where the respective selected, at least one first delayed clock signal or second delayed clock signal serves to synchronize the compensation module, with respect to claim 8, when first delayed clock signal is selected instead of the second delayed clock signal, the delayed first clock signal present at an output end of the first delay means is adapted by adjusting, with respect to claim 9, the first or second start value is performed only upon attainment of a predetermined first deviation tolerance value, while the converse applies upon the attainment of a second deviation tolerance value which is smaller than the first deviation tolerance value, with respect to claims 10 and 11, phase adjustment changes the second delay time of second delay means in

dynamic step sizes, a respective step size being modified as a function of the respective phase difference, with respect to claim 14, a SDH transmission network that includes a compensation module for clock signals in the network with SDH, with respect to claim 18, code executed by control means on a console of a network device for a transmission with a SDH, with respect to claim 25 and 27, predetermined second delay time is greater than the predetermined first delay time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell P. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-3180. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prenell P. Jones

May 14 200₺\*\*

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER